

Guidelines for the evaluation of bibliographic / literature / systematic reviews

The journal “Innovaciones Educativas” is a biannual electronic publication, of an academic nature, which includes research results, essays, systematizations of experiences and bibliographic reviews in the field of educational innovation and related topics about the study of educational sciences. We thank you for your collaboration and ask you to provide the following information.

Title of the document to be reviewed	
Revision date	

- I. **Assessment of document quality criteria.** Consider the criteria listed in the column on the left and place an "X" in the box on the right according to your assessment of compliance with these guidelines. In the comments section you can indicate recommendations to the author(s).

Criteria	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very poor
General Aspects					
The title is left-aligned, translated into English, and complies with the indicated word count. It reflects the systematization contents.					
The informative summary complies with the number of words, explains the objective of the systematization, the analyses performed and the main conclusion. It is translated into another language.					
Keywords from the UNESCO thesaurus are included.					
Recommendations for improvement:					
Introduction					
It presents the contextualization of the experience that gives rise to the document.					
The introduction explains the problem or specifies its theoretical and epistemological position on which the systematization of the experience is based.					
There is clarity in the intentionality and objective of the systematization.					
Theoretical and conceptual aspects are mastered in depth.					

Innovaciones Educativas



Recommendations for improvement:					
Experience development: proposal and results.					
The contextualization of the experience is clear and organizes the information in such a way that the course taken by the work performed is understood.					
The methodology used for the collection and analysis of information about the experience is clear. Information is provided on the method, instruments, selection criteria, participants, among others.					
The document shows coherence between the ideas presented, the theoretical positions and the reflection or position of the author.					
The results of the experience show consistency between the proposed objectives, the contrast with the theory and the methodological coherence.					
The experience deals with new or underdeveloped topics in the field of Educational Sciences.					
Recommendations for improvement:					
Synthesis and final thoughts					
The conclusions are clear in the contribution that systematization makes to the academic discipline and/or professional development.					
It contributes to new empirical or theoretical evidence in the field of educational sciences.					
It provides input for the development of new research or innovative experiences in the field of education.					
It presents lessons learned and opportunities for improvement due to the process of systematizing the experience.					
Recommendations for improvement:					

Innovaciones Educativas

Format, Structure and Writing					
In-text citations and references are presented in accordance with APA standards, seventh edition 2020.					
The reference list presents all your entries for sources used in the text according to APA standards, seventh edition 2020.					
The way ideas are organized in the document contributes to its uniqueness.					
The wording of the document allows for easy comprehension of ideas and fluency in its reading.					
There is no suspicion of plagiarism in any of its parts.					
Recommendations for improvement:					

II. Verdict based on the evaluation of the article.

At your discretion, this article should (mark with an X):

Published as submitted	
Published if corrections are addressed	
Not published at all	

III. General and brief justification of your verdict

Comments and observations on the verdict – OPTIONAL-

IV. Confidential comments for the editor or editorial board of the journal (as many as deemed necessary).

Innovaciones Educativas

CINED
Centro de Investigaciones en Educación



V. Reviewer's information.

This information is required for the preparation of the reviewer's certificate under the double-blind modality.

Full name as you wish it to appear on the certificate:	
Entity to which you are attached (School, High School, University or other):	
Position held in the entity:	
Country of birth of the reviewer:	
E-mail address to which you want the certificate to be sent:	
Do you have a profile on Publons?	() Yes. () No.
Would you like your name to be publicly displayed as a reviewer of the Journal on a page for that purpose? (To clarify, the inclusion of the name would not be linked to the document reviewed, this to safeguard anonymity between the parties)	() Yes. () No.