

Innovaciones Educativas

Guidelines for essay evaluations

The journal “Innovaciones Educativas” is a biannual electronic publication, of an academic nature, which includes research results, essays, systematizations of experiences and bibliographic reviews in the field of educational innovation and related topics about the study of educational sciences. We thank you for your collaboration and ask you to provide the following information.

Title of the document to be reviewed	
Revision date	

Essay assessment criteria. Consider the criteria listed in the left column and place an "X" in the box to the right according to your assessment of compliance with these guidelines. In each subsection there is a space for comments and suggestions.

Criteria	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very poor
General Aspects					
1. The title is left-aligned, translated into English, and complies with the indicated word count.					
2. The abstract complies with the number of words (250), explains what the essay is about, the subject matter, the analysis performed and the main conclusion. It is translated into another language.					
3. Keywords from the UNESCO thesaurus are included.					
Suggestions for improvement:					
1. Introduction					
1.1. It reflects the contents of the essay.					
1.2. The contextualization of the essay and its importance are presented.					
1.3. The objective or purpose of the essay is included.					
Suggestions for improvement:					
2. Development of the topic: proposal and argumentation					

Innovaciones Educativas



Last updated: October 2025

2.1. It deals with new or underdeveloped topics in the field of educational sciences.					
2.2. It shows mastery and depth in the theoretical and conceptual aspects addressed.					
2.3. It includes the contributions of the main theories, authors and/or paradigms related to the topic covered in the essay.					
2.4. There is a balance and coherence between the ideas presented, the theoretical positions and the position of the author.					
2.5. The discourse is fluent, clear and the arguments are theoretically supported.					
2.6. Bibliographic citations are included to support the argumentation.					
2.7. It complies with ethical standards throughout the document.					
Suggestions for improvement:					
3. Synthesis and final thoughts					
3.1. The conclusions are clear and consistent with the content developed in the essay in the introduction and the theoretical arguments.					
3.2. It provides new empirical or theoretical evidence in the field of educational sciences.					
3.3. It offers input for the development of new work in the field of educational sciences.					
Suggestions for improvement:					
4. Format/Structure/Editing and Spelling					
4.1. In-text citations and references are presented in accordance with APA standards, seventh edition 2020.					
4.2. The reference list has been constructed in accordance with APA standards, seventh edition 2020, and shows only the sources referenced within the text.					
4.3. The way ideas are organized in the document contributes to its uniqueness.					
4.4. The wording of the document allows for easy comprehension of ideas and fluency in its reading.					
4.5. There is no suspicion of plagiarism in any of its parts.					

Innovaciones Educativas

Suggestions for improvement:

Verdict based on the evaluation of the article.

At your discretion, this article should (mark with an X):

Published as submitted	
Published if corrections are addressed	
Not published at all	

General and brief justification of your verdict

Comments and observations on the verdict – OPTIONAL-

Confidential comments for the editor or editorial board of the journal (as many as deemed necessary).

Innovaciones Educativas

Reviewer information.

This information is required for the preparation of the reviewer's certificate under the double-blind modality.

Full name as you wish it to appear on the certificate:	
Entity to which you are attached (School, High School, University or other):	
Position held in the entity:	
Area of Specialization:	
Country of birth of the reviewer:	
E-mail address to which you want the certificate to be sent:	
Do you have a profile on Publons?	() Yes. () No.
ORCID:	
Would you like your name to be publicly displayed as a reviewer of the Journal on a page for that purpose? (To clarify, the inclusion of the name would not be linked to the document reviewed, this to safeguard anonymity between the parties)	() Yes. () No.