

## FEATURE ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM

*UNED Research Journal* publishes feature articles in all fields of research. Science needs replication and unlike other journals, we welcome articles that repeat previous studies. We are also open to highly specialized articles and to subjects of local or otherwise limited interest. We *recommend* that Feature Articles do not exceed 7000 words and that authors file other material (raw data, audio, video, images, additional text) in zenodo.org or a similar public repository (include the links in the Methods section of your manuscript).

**First Author:**

**Title** (at least 5 first words):

**Does the manuscript report scientifically valid information?**

- If you answer no, please explain why it is not valid (wrong design, error in methods, etc.) and stop reviewing.
- If it is scientifically valid, please add comments and recommendations about content and format below. We will be particularly thankful for fair and concrete recommendations that help authors improve the manuscript.

**Content** (what needs to be clarified or otherwise improved). Please be kind and review for others as you would like others to review for you.

**Format** (please explain any improvements that need to be made in document structure, grammar, tables, figures and references).

---

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you want your name to appear in the yearly list of reviewers?

If you need a formal letter documenting your assistances as a reviewer, please let us know.

---

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

***Julián Monge-Nájera***

Editor in Chief

## OPINION ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM

*UNED Research Journal* publishes opinion articles in its Forum Section. Opinion articles can analyze scientific concepts, procedures, problems related with replication, etc., and even the administrative and political aspects of science, but in all cases must be well-argued, include the facts on which they are based and present concrete recommendations to improve the situation that is criticized. We *recommend* that Opinion Articles do not exceed 3000 words and that authors file other material (raw data, audio, video, images, additional text) in zenodo.org or a similar public repository (include the links in the Methods section of your manuscript).

**First Author:**

**Title** (at least 5 first words):

**Does the manuscript make a valid contribution?**

- If you answer no, please explain why it is not valid (for example: it includes personal attacks, lacks a scientific approach, is not backed by the literature, or is opposed by known observations or data) and stop reviewing.
- If it is valid, please add comments and recommendations about content and format below. We will be particularly thankful for fair and concrete recommendations that help authors improve the manuscript.

**Content** (what needs to be clarified or otherwise improved). Please be kind and review for others as you would like others to review for you.

**Format** (please explain any improvements that need to be made in document structure, grammar, tables, figures and references).

---

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you want your name to appear in the yearly list of reviewers?

If you need a formal letter documenting your assistances as a reviewer, please let us know.

---

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

***Julián Monge-Nájera***

Editor in Chief

## REVIEW ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM

UNED Research Journal publishes reviews of the literature with a critical approach and practical recommendations for future research. We *recommend* that Review Articles do not exceed 7000 words and that authors file other material (raw data, audio, video, images, additional text) in zenodo.org or a similar public repository (include the links in the Methods section of your manuscript).

**First Author:**

**Title** (at least 5 first words):

**Does the manuscript present an updated and rigorous review of the literature?**

- If you answer no, please explain why it is not worth publishing and stop reviewing. If the problem is that some important papers were missed, kindly give the references so that authors can add them to the review.
- If it is a good review, please add comments and recommendations about content and format below. We will be particularly thankful for fair and concrete recommendations that help authors improve the manuscript.

**Content** (what needs to be clarified or otherwise improved). Please be kind and review for others as you would like others to review for you.

**Format** (please explain any improvements that need to be made in document structure, grammar, tables, figures and references).

---

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you want your name to appear in the yearly list of reviewers?

If you need a formal letter documenting your assistances as a reviewer, please let us know.

---

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

***Julián Monge-Nájera***

Editor in Chief

## EVALUATION FORM FOR HYPOTHESES ARTICLE

**UNED Research Journal** publishes short articles that offer observation-based hypotheses that can be a sound base for future research; they can include small samples and preliminary statistical analyses. We *recommend* that Hypothesis Articles do not exceed 4000 words and that authors file other material (raw data, audio, video, images, additional text) in zenodo.org or a similar public repository (include the links in the Methods section of your manuscript).

**First Author:**

**Title** (at least 5 first words):

**Does the manuscript report scientifically valid hypotheses?**

- If you answer no, please explain why they are not valid (wrong concept, does not match known facts, etc.) and stop reviewing.
- If the hypotheses are scientifically valid, please add comments and recommendations about content and format below. Please bear in mind that many students are looking for interesting hypotheses for their theses, this section is especially aimed for them. We will be particularly thankful for fair and concrete recommendations that help authors improve the manuscript.

**Content** (what needs to be clarified or otherwise improved). Please be kind and review for others as you would like others to review for you.

**Format** (please explain any improvements that need to be made in document structure, grammar, tables, figures and references).

---

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you want your name to appear in the yearly list of reviewers?

If you need a formal letter documenting your assistances as a reviewer, please let us know.

---

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

***Julián Monge-Nájera***

Editor in Chief



## SHORT ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM

*UNED Research Journal* publishes short reports (3-4 pp); notes (1-2 pp), and range extensions (1-2 pp). Additional material (text, images, audios and videos) is published online as needed. We *recommend* that Short Articles do not exceed 1500 words and that authors file other material (raw data, audio, video, images, additional text) in zenodo.org or a similar public repository (include the links in the Methods section of your manuscript).

**First Author:**

**Title** (at least 5 first words):

**Does the manuscript report scientifically valid information?**

- If you answer no, please explain why it is not valid (wrong design, error in methods, etc.) and stop reviewing. Please bear in mind that we also accept studies that replicate previous work or that are of local or highly technical interest.
- If it is scientifically valid, please add comments and recommendations about content and format below. We will be particularly thankful for fair and concrete recommendations that help authors improve the manuscript.

**Content** (what needs to be clarified or otherwise improved). Please be kind and review for others as you would like others to review for you.

**Format** (please explain any improvements that need to be made in document structure, grammar, tables, figures and references).

---

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you want your name to appear in the yearly list of reviewers?

If you need a formal letter documenting your assistances as a reviewer, please let us know.

---

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

***Julián Monge-Nájera***

Editor in Chief

## CASE STUDY EVALUATION FORM

Case Studies are data-based analyses of particular problems with practical recommendations. Their main goal is to offer fact-based solutions to communities, nature reserves, organizations and similar entities. We *recommend* that Case Study Articles do not exceed 6000 words and that authors file other material (raw data, audio, video, images, additional text) in zenodo.org or a similar public repository (include the links in the Methods section of your manuscript).

**First Author:**

**Title** (at least 5 first words):

**Does the manuscript report scientifically valid information?**

- If you answer no, please explain in detail why it is not valid (wrong design, error in methods, etc.) and stop reviewing.
- If it is scientifically valid, please add comments and recommendations about content and format below. We will be particularly thankful for fair and concrete recommendations that help authors improve the manuscript.

**Content** (what needs to be clarified or otherwise improved). Please be kind and review for others as you would like others to review for you.

**Format** (please explain any improvements that need to be made in document structure, grammar, tables, figures and references).

---

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you want your name to appear in the yearly list of reviewers?

If you need a formal letter documenting your assistances as a reviewer, please let us know.

---

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

***Julián Monge-Nájera***

Editor in Chief